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OVERVIEW

• The 2011 Federal Budget contains significant changes
• This presentation will provide an overview of the changes that will be of concern to all churches, charities and other qualified donees:
  – A new regulatory regime for qualifies donees
  – A new governance regime for charities and RCAAAs
  – Clarification on returning charitable gifts returned to donors
  – Changes to the rules around gifting non-qualifying securities, options to acquire property and flow-thru shares
  – The examination of charitable donation incentives

FEDERAL BUDGET 2011

• The 2011 Federal Budget (“Budget”) was initially introduced on March 22, 2011 and was reintroduced on June 6, 2011 in almost identical form
• Received first reading on October 4, 2011 as part of Bill C-13
• For more information on the Budget see Charity Law Bulletin #245 at http://www.carters.ca/pub/bulletin/charity/2011/charlb245.pdf
1. New Regulatory Regime for Qualified Donees
   • “Qualified donee” is a term defined in the Income Tax Act – it is an entity that may issue official donation receipts for gifts and may receive gifts from registered charities
   • The Budget proposes to extend certain regulatory requirements, that currently apply only to charities, to the following types of qualified donees:
     – Registered Canadian amateur athletic associations ("RCAAs")
     – Municipalities in Canada
   • The remaining qualified donees that are not affected by the new rules include the following:
     – The Government of Canada
     – The provincial and territorial governments in Canada
     – The United Nations and its agencies
     – Registered national arts service organizations are deemed to be “registered charities,” so they are already subject to the same regulatory requirements
     – The effective date of these proposals is the later of January 1, 2012 and the date of Royal Assent for the enacting legislation
• The regulatory requirements that will apply to those qualified donees listed above are:
  – Qualified donees will be identified in a publicly available list maintained by CRA
  – If a qualified donee does not issue donation receipts in accordance with the ITA and its regulations, it could have its receipting privileges suspended or its qualified donee status revoked

– RCAAAs will be subject to monetary penalties if they issue improper receipts or fail to file an information return
– Qualified donees will be required to maintain proper books and records and provide access to those books and records to CRA when requested
  • If a qualified donee fails to do so, CRA may suspend its receipting privileges or revoke its qualified donee status

• The Budget will extend additional regulatory requirements (which currently apply to registered charities) to RCAAAs:
  – Definition will be changed to require them to have the “promotion of amateur athletics in Canada on a nation-wide basis” as their exclusive purpose and exclusive function, rather than their primary purpose and primary function
If an RCAAA provides an undue benefit to any person, it may be subject to monetary penalties, suspension of receipting privileges, or revocation, e.g. excessive compensation to staff, professional fundraiser or any individual or company with whom it does business.

CRA will be authorized to make available to the public information and documents in respect of RCAs that are currently available in respect of registered charities, e.g. governing documents, annual information returns, applications for registration and the names of directors.

2. New Governance Regime for Registered Charities and RCAs

- The Budget identifies a CRA concern that applications for charitable status are being submitted by individuals who have been involved with other charities and RCAs that have had their status revoked for serious non-compliance.
- According to the Department of Finance, CRA does not have the ability to refuse to register or revoke the status of a registered charity or RCA based on these grounds.

- The Budget gives CRA the discretion to refuse or revoke the registration of a charity or RCA, or to suspend its receipting privileges, if
  - a member of the board of directors,
  - a trustee,
  - officer or like official, or
  - any individual who otherwise controls or manages the charity or RCA is an “ineligible individual.”
An "ineligible individual" is a person who:

- Has been found guilty of a criminal offence in Canada or similar offence outside of Canada relating to financial dishonesty (including tax evasion, theft or fraud) or any other criminal offence that is relevant to the operation of the organization, for which he or she has not received a pardon ("relevant criminal offence")

- Has been found guilty of an offence in Canada within the past five years (other than a "relevant criminal offence"), or similar offence committed outside Canada within the past five years relating to financial dishonesty or any other offence that is relevant to the operation of the charity or RCAAA ("relevant offence")
  - Such offences include offences under charitable fundraising legislation, convictions for misrepresentation under consumer protection legislation or convictions under securities legislation

- Has been a member of the board of directors, a trustee, officer or equivalent official, or an individual who otherwise controlled or managed the operation of a charity or RCAAA during a period in which the organization engaged in serious non-compliance for which its registration has been revoked within the past five years

- Has been at any time a promoter of a gifting arrangement or other tax shelter in which a charity or RCAAA participated and the registration of the charity or RCAAA has been revoked within the past five years for reasons that included or were related to its participation
EXAMPLE

- Charity X has a 25 member board of directors. One of these directors, Carter, was employed as the manager of another charity, Charity Y, in 2001-2002
- Charity Y is audited in 2004 in respect of the 2001 and 2002 taxation years
- In February 2006, Charity Y loses its status for substantial non-compliance, as a result of the imprudent actions of Charity Y’s board of directors, actions which Carter strongly objected to and which ultimately caused Carter to resign in 2002

Because Carter managed a charity that lost its status for substantial non-compliance, Carter is now an “ineligible individual”
- He is an ineligible individual for the period of 5 years from the date of revocation in February 2006
- The charitable status of Charity X could now potentially be revoked because an ineligible individual was/on its board of directors

The Budget states that CRA will look at the “particular circumstances” of a charity or RCAAA but does not state what those circumstances are
- The budget does state that CRA will take into account whether “appropriate safeguards have been instituted to address any potential concerns”
- However, there is no explanation of what these safeguards might be
• What sort of due diligence will be required by a charity to ensure that an “ineligible individual” does not become involved or continue to be involved in the management of the charity?
• The Budget states that a charity will not be required to conduct background checks, but even if the charity wanted to, out of an abundance of caution, the information required to independently assess whether an individual is “ineligible” may not be publicly or easily available:

  - Possible to search for relevant criminal offences in Canada, but abroad?
  - Many relevant offences are not tracked in publicly available databases in Canada, and unlikely abroad
  - Names of Board members and like officials of revoked charities not maintained in a single database
  - Not likely that “an individual who otherwise controlled or managed the operation” would be identified in publicly available documents – likely information solely in CRA’s control

• Since most of the information is only available to CRA, the onus should be on CRA to maintain a list of “ineligible individuals” (which may exist internally for the purpose of enforcing these provisions) and making it publicly available (unlikely because of privacy and other legal concerns)
• Onus is shifted to charities to comply in a situation where it is impossible to ensure 100% compliance because the necessary information is not available
• This new cause for revocation is similar to a strict liability offence – no due diligence defence is available in the legislation
• Charities will be required to undertake other forms of due diligence and hope CRA will excuse any inadvertent non-compliance?
• Are all charities going to be required to conduct police checks even if not dealing with vulnerable individuals a simple matter of due diligence?
• One issue that charities will need to address is whether a questionnaire is necessary and if so, how frequent is a questionnaire to be used, how broad should the questions be and to whom should it apply?

– Likely all directors, trustees, officers and like officials
– Who is an individual who otherwise controls or manages the charity - likely all senior staff?

• How does a charity deal with a director or officer that is an ineligible individual – usually only the members or directors can remove a director?
• How does a charity remove staff that is an ineligible individual – could have important employment law ramifications?

3. Clarification on Charitable Gifts Returned to Donors
• The Budget clarifies the effect of a charity returning a donation with respect to the ITA
• CRA will be able to reassess a taxpayer outside the normal reassessment period and disallow a taxpayer’s claim for a credit or deduction when gifted property is returned to a donor
• If a charity has issued an official donation receipt for donation and subsequently returns the gift to the donor, if the value of the returned property is greater than $50, the charity must issue a revised donation receipt and file a copy with CRA
These measures apply in respect of a gift of property returned on or after March 22, 2011.

• The Budget provisions do not address the fundamental issue of whether or not a gift can be returned to the donor at law

• Legal advice should be sought in this regard

4. Gifts of Non-qualifying Securities

• The Budget proposes modifications to the rules regarding donations by a taxpayer of a non-qualifying security (“NQS”) to a registered charity

• A NQS is generally a share, debt obligation, or other security (but not publicly listed securities and deposit obligations of financial institutions) of a corporation that is not at arm’s length to the donor

• Tax recognition of a gift of a NQS will now be deferred until the recipient charity disposes of the NQS for payment

• If the NQS is not disposed of by the charity within the five-year period following the date of the gift, there will be no tax recognition of the gift

• The Budget has also proposed new anti-avoidance rules to catch situations where, through a series of transactions, the charity receives a NQS and the donor avoids the application of the above NQS rules
5. Granting of Options to Qualified Donees

- The Budget proposes to delay the recognition of a gift of an option to acquire property given to a qualified donee.
- Previously, where a donor granted an option to purchase property to a qualified donee, the gift was recognized on the date of the gift and a receipt could be issued immediately for the fair market value of the option.
- The Budget proposes to delay recognition until the option is exercised by the qualified donee, e.g. the property is purchased.

6. Donations of Flow-thru Shares (“FTS”)

- Currently, the combined effect of the deduction of the “flow-thru” expenses, the elimination of the capital gains tax, and the charitable donation deduction or credit substantially reduces or virtually eliminates the after-tax cost of making a charitable donation of FTS.
- The Budget proposes to limit the availability of the exemption from tax on capital gains where FTS are donated to a qualified donee.
- The proposed rules apply where a taxpayer acquires shares issued pursuant to a FTS agreement entered into on or after March 22, 2011.

7. Examination of Charitable Donation Incentives

- The Government of Canada is looking at changing the way it finances charities and non-profit organizations to increase accountability of these organizations and to change public expectations.
- Motion 559, which was sponsored by the MP for Kitchener-Waterloo on March 22, 2011 was adopted by the House of Commons and is now being supported by the government. The Motion calls for the House Standing Committee on Finance to study charitable donation incentives.
- Hearings are expected to begin soon.