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INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW

• Overview of the challenges within the current Canadian tax regime in facilitating social enterprise and factors to consider in developing a new framework
• What is “social enterprise”?  
• Challenges faced by social enterprise in Canada
• Lessons from other jurisdictions
• Progressing towards a new paradigm in Canada

A. SOCIAL ENTERPRISE – THE HORIZON

1. What is Social Enterprise?
   • In its most basic form, social enterprise is:
     – A business dedicated to a social mission, or
     – Making a profit to achieve a social good
   • Social enterprise is sometimes referred to as the “fourth sector”
   • Combines charitable missions, corporate methods, and social and environmental consciousness in ways that transcend traditional business and philanthropy
• Social entrepreneurship is the work of a social entrepreneur
• A social entrepreneur is someone who recognizes a social problem and uses entrepreneurial principles to organize, create, and manage a venture to make social change
• A social entrepreneur assesses success in terms of the impact he/she has on society, rather than measuring performance in profit and return
• Social entrepreneurs often work through nonprofits and citizen groups, but they may also work in the private and governmental sectors

2. Social Enterprise in Canada
• In Canada, there has been a significant rise in interest in developing social enterprise
• Charities and non-profits have been looking for new ways to replace diminishing funding, diversifying their funding base and improve organizational sustainability

• There has been a rise in the inter-relationship between charities and business entities in Canada:
  – Charities that are attempting to run in a more “business-like” manner
  – Charities that are developing revenue streams through increased sales of goods and services
  – Charities that are joining forces with businesses to undertake joint marketing initiatives and cross promotions
B. THE CHALLENGE FACED BY SOCIAL ENTERPRISES IN CANADA

“What we need in addition to conventional charitable giving is a new way to think about philanthropy and the achievement of social goals. …The business entrepreneur improves our quality of life by creating wealth and economic growth. The social entrepreneur improves our quality of life by confronting the inequality that is often the collateral occurrence of free markets. Both kinds of entrepreneurs are necessary. Let us give them both the chance to succeed.”

The Right Honourable Paul Martin
Former Prime Minister of Canada

• Although registered charities may conduct limited aspects of social enterprise, what is permitted is very restrictive and falls short of the broad “social enterprise” activities that are being conducted across the global landscape

• CRA’s Guide RC4143(E) “Community Economic Development Programs”

• CRA’s policy statement CPS-019 “What is a Related Business?”

• The problem: three separate categories of sectors in the Income Tax Act (ITA):
  – Taxpaying corporations and individuals
  – Tax-exempt non-profits
  – Charities

• However, social enterprise does not fit easily within any of the three existing categories and there are advantages and disadvantages with regard to all three categories
1. Operating a Social Enterprise Through a Registered Charity

a) Restrictions under the ITA

- All three categories of charities (charitable organizations, public foundations and private foundations) are prohibited from carrying on any unrelated business
- Charitable organizations and public foundations can carry on related businesses
- For related business restrictions to apply, the activity must be a “business” and the charity must be “carrying on” that business activity

i. Activities that are not “business activities”

- Some activities are not “businesses,” even though the charity involved may receive revenue from such activities
- CRA’s policy on “related business” is in relation to a “business” that involves a commercial activity undertaken with the intention to earn profit and the charity derives revenues from providing goods and services

• Factors used by CRA to determine whether an activity is a “business”
  – Whether the rationale for operating an activity is to generate a profit
  – Whether the activity is structured so that it is capable of earning a profit
  – Whether the activity earned a profit in the past
  – Whether the person or organization that is undertaking the activity has been selected for the position because of commercial knowledge, skill, or experience
• Examples of income generating activities that are not commercial activities
  – Soliciting donations
  – Selling donated property
  – Charging fees for providing charitable programs, such as rent from low-income housing programs
  – Community economic development programs (e.g. training businesses, social businesses to address the need of the disabled, and micro-enterprises)

ii. Activities that do not amount to “carrying on” business activities
• At common law, “carrying on” implies continuing activity
• A charity may engage in occasional business activities as long as they are not conducted in a continuing nature
• Examples of activities that do not constitute the “carrying on” of a business
  – Sponsorship and fundraising
  – Passive investments

iii. Related business activities
• Charitable organizations and public foundations may carry on related businesses
• Private foundations cannot carry on any business activities
• If non-compliance
  – Penalty of 5% of gross revenue from the activity on first offence
  – Repeat offence in 5 years: 100% penalty and suspension of receipting privileges
  – Revocation of charitable status
• A related business must be either:
  – Substantially run by volunteers (90%) (based on a head count):
  – Linked and subordinate to a charity’s purpose
• Four forms of linkage
  – A usual and necessary concomitant of charitable programs
  – An off-shoot of a charitable program
  – A use of excess capacity
  – Sale of items that promote the charity or its objects

• Factors of subordination to a charity’s purpose
  – The business activity receives a minor portion of the charity’s attention and resources
  – The business is integrated into the charity’s operations (not acting as a self-contained unit)
  – The charity’s charitable goals continue to dominate its decision-making
  – The charity continues to operate for an exclusively charitable purpose, not permitting any private benefit

iv. Other limitations
• Legal structure
  – A registered charity cannot be organized as a partnership
  – If a charity is a partner in a partnership, it is generally recognized to be carrying on a business
• Disbursement quota
  – Expenditure on operating a business activity or a fundraising activity do not count toward meeting the disbursement quota
• Restrictions on foundations with regard to business activities:
  – Public and private foundations cannot incur debt, other than for current operating expenses, purchase and sale of investment and administration of charitable activities
  – Public and private foundations cannot acquire more than 50% of a corporation’s issued share capital with full voting rights, however shares may be gifted to a foundation (regardless of the percentage) as long as the foundation does not acquire more than 5% of the shares for consideration

– Private foundations cannot engage in any business activities
– Private foundations are subject to excess corporate holding rules requiring – public disclosure over 2% and divestment over 20%

• Restriction on fundraising
  – Necessary to see whether what is intended to be a business activity may be a fundraising activity and subject to CRA’s new fundraising policy

b) Restrictions under provincial statutes
• Charitable Gifts Act (Ontario) - restrictions on charities owning more than a 10% “interest in a business”
• Trustee Act (Ontario) - establishes a prudent investment standard
• Charities Accounting Act (Ontario) - restrictions on a charity holding property for more than three years if it is not used or required to be used for its charitable purpose
c) Restrictions at common law
- Charities in Ontario cannot pay directors any form of remuneration for service rendered without court approval
- Directors of charities have a duty to avoid a conflict of interest

2. Operating a social enterprise through a registered charity and intermediary entities
- Charities have utilized intermediary entities as a means to carry on business activities that would otherwise not be permissible to be conducted by the charities themselves
  - For-profit companies
  - Non-profit corporations
  - Business trusts
  - A combination of these entities

a) For-profit company as intermediary
- A registered charity incorporates a for-profit business share capital corporation as an intermediary to operate the business or own revenue generating real property
- An arrangement would then be made in relation to the shareholdings of the for-profit company so that the charity would maintain control or influence over the shareholders
- However, there are a number of concerns
i. Tax liability under ITA
   • Tax would have to be paid on taxable income (but may claim a deduction for donations up to 75% of the company’s net income)
   • Management service fees and sponsorship fees may also be provided by the charity in return for fair market compensation to be paid by the business
   • Actual tax on placing unrelated business activity in a wholly-owned for profit subsidiary may be as low as 3% to 5% in some instances

ii. Interest in business - Charitable Gifts Act (Ontario)
   • Charities cannot own more than a 10% “interest in a business”
   • Charity must either wind up or dispose of its interest in excess of 10% in the for-profit company within 7 years
   • If a charity owns more than 50% interest, it will have to make annual disclosure to the Public Guardian and Trustee

iii. Foundation not able to control a corporation
   • Charitable foundations cannot control a corporation

iv. Governance and liability issues
   • Needs to consider appropriate governance issues involving the for-profit company
   • e.g., who would act as directors and shareholders for the for-profit company?
b) A non-profit organization as intermediary

- Registered charity incorporates a non-share capital corporation that would be a tax-exempt "non-profit organization" ("NPO") as an intermediary to operate the business activity
- May be appropriate in some circumstances, e.g. by holding title to an income generating real property
- The application may be limited, since there are limitations on NPOs to carry on business to earn profit
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c) A combination of a for-profit company and a non-profit corporation as intermediary

- A for-profit company would be incorporated to operate the business activity, and 100% of the shares would be owned by an NPO
- In Ontario, this may overcome limitations placed by Charitable Gifts Act
- Possible for an NPO to hold shares of a wholly-owned for-profit corporation
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d) A business trust as intermediary

- The trust would operate the business activity and the charity would become an income beneficiary of the trust, with the income earned by the trust to be distributed to the charity as an income beneficiary
- Trusts are taxable, but income that is distributed by the trust to the charity as an income beneficiary would not be subject to tax
However, not entirely clear whether this option would avoid the application of the *Charitable Gifts Act*

Various other concerns:
- The trust would need to pay income tax every 21 years due to a deemed disposition
- Rule against perpetuity
- The business trust would be subject to applicable provincial trustee legislation
- The need for appropriate relational mechanisms involving the trust

3. Operating a Social Enterprise Through Other Forms of Entities and Foregoing Charitable Status

a) Operating through a for-profit company

Advantages
- No restriction on related business
- Can pay directors
- Restrictive provincial statutes do not apply
- Can attract equity investment

Disadvantages
- Cannot issue donation receipts
- Taxable (but can claim charitable deductions up to 75% of its net income)
- Can claim deductions from sponsorship and advertising, but must be reasonable in the circumstances
- Charities cannot make program related investments in a business
- A for-profit company may also want to establish a parallel foundation to carry out its charitable giving program
b) Operating through a non-profit corporation
  • Advantages
    – Generally tax-exempt
    – Related business policy does not apply
    – Can pay directors
    – Restrictive provincial statutes do not apply

  • Disadvantages
    – Must not otherwise be a charity
    – Cannot issue donation receipts
    – Cannot be organized or operated to make a profit
    – No income to its members
    – Cannot accumulate excess funds each year beyond its reasonable needs
    – If NPO status is lost, it would become taxable

C. LESSONS FROM OTHER JURISDICTIONS
1. United Kingdom: Community Interest Company (“CIC”)
  • Limited liability company designed for social enterprise
  • Enabling legislation enacted in 2005; now over 2,700 registered CICs
  • Can be established for any lawful purpose, as long as their activities are carried on for the benefit of the community (charities must be established exclusively for charitable purposes)
- Can issue shares to raise capital and pay dividends subject to a cap
- Directors can be paid
- Subject to a “community interest test” - whether a reasonable person considers that its activities are carried on for the benefit of the community
- Subject to asset lock to ensure that the assets of the CIC are used for community purposes
- CIC are taxable entities

2. United States: Low-profit Limited Liability Company (“L3C”)
- Enabling legislation first passed in Vermont on April 30, 2008
- Since then, has developed in other states (e.g. Michigan, North Dakota, Utah, Illinois and Wyoming) and pending in others (e.g. Arkansas, Missouri, North Carolina and Tennessee)

- Designated as low-profit limited liability company with charitable or educational goals
- Primarily designed to attract program-related investments from private foundations in the U.S.
- Investments in an L3C are not deductible
- Not exempt from taxation but income and expense of a L3C are allocated among the member of the L3C, reported on their returns and taxed in their hands
D. TOWARDS A NEW PARADIGM IN CANADA

• Current Canadian regulatory regime for registered charities is very restrictive of their ability to engage in business activity, let alone social enterprise

• Not possible to predict what a Canadian model might look like, but there is a clear need to develop Canada’s solution to empower the social enterprise option

• Some factors that might be considered in developing a Canadian social enterprise option could include:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| – The creation of a new corporate hybrid vehicle to draw upon the best of the U.S. & U.K. legislation, e.g. a new federal Community Enterprise Act |
| – An alternative might be to allow entities to be incorporated under existing corporate legislation and provide other attractive features, such as some form of tax exemption |
| – The hybrid would need to facilitate the raising of capital by issuing shares (but also need a mechanism to keep track of missing shareholders) |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>41</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| – Possibly to provide tax incentives for investment, such as tax credits or deductions for investors |
| – Possibly permit charities to “invest” in social enterprise entities, with such investment to be counted toward their disbursement quota |
| – Possibly to impose an asset lock and the capping of the return of investments at a certain level in order to ensure focus is on social purpose instead of profit |

| 42 |
- Possibly need a registration system for the hybrid
- Possibly provide tax treatment to the hybrid, ranging from full or partial tax-exemption
- Possibly coordinate changes to other federal and provincial legislative