
TORONTO ESTATE PLANNING COUNCIL

Toronto – December 7, 2004

Recent Changes to the *Income Tax Act* Affecting Charities and Donations

By Terrance S. Carter, B.A., LL.B.

© 2004 Carter & Associates

CARTERS.ca

CARTER & ASSOCIATES
PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

BARRISTERS, SOLICITORS & TRADE-MARK AGENTS
Affiliated with Fasken Martineau DuMoulin LLP

Main Office Location

211 Broadway, P.O. Box 440
Orangeville, ON, Canada, L9W 1K4
Tel: (519) 942-0001
Fax: (519) 942-0300
Toll Free: 1-877-942-0001

www.carters.ca

National Meeting Locations

Toronto (416) 675-3766
Ottawa (613) 212-2213
London (519) 937-2333
Vancouver (877) 942-0001
"Proactive Advice"®

www.charitylaw.ca

OVERVIEW OF PRESENTATION

- Recent Changes to CRA Website
- Overview of Legislative Changes to the *Income Tax Act*
- Highlights of Proposals in Revised Draft Technical Amendments of February 2004
- Selected Highlights from September 2004 Amendments for the March 2004 Budget

This power point presentation consists of excerpts from a paper entitled "Essential Recent Developments in Charity Law" dated December 1, 2004 and Charity Law Bulletins #54, #55, #56 and #59 available at www.charitylaw.ca

2

A. RECENT CHANGES TO CRA WEBSITE

- Refer to: www.ccra-adrc.gc.ca/tax/charities/menu-e.html for all CRA resource materials
- Changes to the CRA website cover the following topics:
 - Legislative Amendments - Bulletins
 - Circulars - Brochures
 - Information Letters - Newsletters
 - Policy Statements - Summary Policies
 - Fact Sheets - Consultation Papers

3

- New and forthcoming policy statements from CRA on their website
 - New Proposed Policy Statement on Applicants Assisting Ethnocultural Communities
 - New Proposed Policy Statement on Meeting the Public Benefit Test
 - New Publication Entitled Charities in the International Context

4

- Forthcoming Policy Statement on Decision-making Process for Public Benefit
 - Forthcoming Policy Statement on Human Rights as a Charitable Purpose
 - Forthcoming Policy Statement on Umbrella Organizations
 - Forthcoming Policy Statement on Sports-related Applicants
 - Forthcoming Policy Statement on Research as a Charitable Activity
 - Forthcoming Study on Advancement of Religion
- 5

- B. OVERVIEW OF LEGISLATIVE CHANGES TO THE *INCOME TAX ACT***
- December 20, 2002 Draft Technical Amendments
 - December 24, 2002 Income Tax Technical News No. 26
 - February 28, 2003 Federal 2003 Budget
 - December 5, 2003 Draft Amendments
 - February 27, 2004 Revised Draft Technical Amendments
 - March 23, 2004 Federal 2004 Budget
 - September 16, 2004 Draft Amendments for March 2004 Federal Budget
- 6

- C. HIGHLIGHTS OF PROPOSALS IN REVISED DRAFT TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS OF FEBRUARY 2004**
- 1. New Definition of Gift**
- The traditional common law definition of a gift requires:
 - The donor must have an intention to give
 - There must be a transfer of property
 - The transfer must be made voluntarily without contractual obligation
 - No consideration or advantage can be received by the donor
- 7

- Draft amendments to the *Income Tax Act* create a new concept of “gift” for tax purposes which permits a donor to receive a tax credit under the *Income Tax Act* even though the donor receives a benefit, provided that the value of the property exceeds the benefit received by the donor
- However, the idea that a gift can provide a benefit back to the donor is foreign to the common law concept of a gift

8

- The draft amendments reflect an importation of the civil law concept of gift which permits a benefit back to the donor
- While a gift with an advantage may be deemed a gift under the *Income Tax Act*, it will not necessarily be a gift at common law and therefore should not be identified as a gift in order to avoid subsequent challenges to the validity of the gift

9

2. New Split-Receipting Rules

- The key requirements of what will be recognized as a gift for income tax purposes for split receipting based on the new definition of gift reflected above are as follows:
 - There must be voluntary transfer of property with a clearly ascertainable value
 - Any advantage received by the donor must be clearly identified and its value ascertainable

10

– There must be a clear donative intent by the donor to benefit the charity

– Donative intent will generally be presumed provided that the fair market value of the advantage does not exceed 80% of the value of the gift

– The eligible amount of a gift will be the excess of the value of the property transferred over the amount of the advantage received by the donor

11

– The amount of the advantage is the total value of all property, services, compensation or other benefits to which the donor, or a person not dealing at arms length with the donor, has received or obtained or is entitled either immediately or in the future as partial consideration for or in gratitude for the gift or that is in any other way related to the gift

– Excluded from the value of the advantage is token consideration for the gift calculated on the basis of a “*de minimis* threshold” of the lesser of 10% of the value of the gift and \$75.00

12

- The charitable receipt will now need to identify the advantage and the amount of the advantage as well as the eligible amount of the resulting gift
- The advantage can be received prior to, at the same time as, or subsequent to the making of the gift
- It is not necessary for a causal relationship to exist between the making of the gift and the receiving of the advantage as long as they are “in any other way” related to each other

13

- Therefore, if a donor makes a gift in consideration of the charity employing his spouse, or the charity hires his spouse in gratitude of the gift being made in the future, then the value of the advantage may need to include the current value of the employment of the spouse
- In addition, the advantage could even be provided by third parties unbeknownst to the charity, which fact may necessitate that charities make inquiries of donors if they have received a related benefit from anyone

14

3. Charitable Annuities:

- CRA indicated in Technical News No. 26 in December 2002 that the previous administrative position with regard to charitable annuities has no basis in law and cannot be continued as a consequence of the amendment to subsection 248(33) of the *Income Tax Act*
- Instead, a new administrative policy has been proposed which provides for a charitable receipt based on the difference between the cost of the annuity and the gift, rather than the difference between the anticipated annuity payments and the amount of the gift

15

Facts:

- A donor makes a \$100,000 contribution to a charitable organization
- The donor's life expectancy is 8 years (and the donor lives 8 years)
- The donor is to be provided annuity payments of \$10,000 per year (total of \$80,000)
- The cost of the annuity to provide the \$80,000 payment over 8 years is \$50,000

16

<u>Former tax treatment under IT-111R2</u>	<u>Proposed tax treatment under Technical News No. 26</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the donor receives a tax receipt of \$20,000 for the year of donation, being the amount of \$100,000 in excess of the annuity payments of \$80,000 All of the \$80,000 annuity payments are tax free 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the donor receives a tax receipt of \$50,000 for the year of donation, being the amount of \$100,000 in excess of the \$50,000 cost to provide the annuity \$30,000 of the \$80,000 annuity payments will be included as income of the donor over 8 years, with the balance of the \$50,000 to be tax free

17

<p>4. New Definition of Charitable Organizations and Public Foundations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In the December 2002 draft amendment, the definitions of charitable organizations and public foundations were amended by replacing the “contribution” test with a “control” test The rationale for amending the definitions is to permit charitable organizations and public foundations to receive large gifts from donors without concern that they may be deemed to be a private foundation
--

18

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The previous “contribution” test meant that where more than 50% of the capital of a charity was contributed from one donor or donor group then the charity would be deemed to be a private foundation subject to more stringent activity and disbursement requirements The new “control” test means that while a donor may donate more than 50% of the capital of a charity, the donor or donor group cannot exercise control directly or indirectly in any manner over the charity or be in a non arms length relationship with 50% or more of the directors or trustees of the charity

19

- As a result of the introduction of a “control” test, the convoluted business rules in relation to “control” will become applicable as a result of the phrase “controlled directly or indirectly in any manner whatever”
- Charities will now need to be careful that they do not unwittingly become designated as a private foundation instead of either a charitable organization or public foundation

20

5. The Evolving Shutdown of Tax Shelter Donation Programs

Definition of Tax Shelter:

- A tax shelter is defined under the *Income Tax Act* as any property for which a promotion represents that an investor can claim deductions or credits which equal or exceed the actual amount of the investment within four years of its purchase
- The definition of tax shelter was amended in the February 2003 Budget to include tax credits on charitable donations and limited recourse debt
- This meant that tax shelter donation programs with promises of net return on investments were required to be registered as tax shelters

21

Description of Tax Shelter Donation Programs:

- The potential misuse of tax shelter donation programs has been identified by CRA
 - These donation programs turn on the fact that the item in question is purchased at a substantially lower price than its much higher fair market value, and that a donation receipt is issued by a registered charity for the fair market value when the item is donated to it

22

Proposed Amendments to the *Income Tax Act*:

- The December 2003 and February 2004 proposed amendments to the *Income Tax Act* attempt to shut down tax shelter donation programs by severely restricting the tax benefits from donations made under tax shelter donation arrangements

23

New Deeming Provision:

- The proposed amendment deems the fair market value of property donated for the purpose of issuing charitable receipts to be the lesser of (i) the fair market value of the property and (ii) the cost (or the adjusted cost base where applicable) of the property to the tax-payer immediately before the gift is made in the following three situations:

24

- If the tax-payer acquires the property through a “gifting arrangement” where it is represented that the acquisition of the property would generate any combination of tax credits or deduction that in total would equal or exceed the cost of acquiring the property in question, whether or not it was acquired within three years
- If the tax-payer acquired the property less than three years before the gift was made

25

– If it was reasonable to conclude that when the tax-payer acquired the property, the tax-payer expected to make a gift of the property, with the donor possibly having to prove that the donor did not have an expectation to make a gift when the property was acquired

- The deeming provision does not apply to inventory, real property situated in Canada, certified cultural property, publicly traded shares and ecological gifts

26

- The deeming provision also does not apply to situations where the gift is made as a consequence of the donor's death
- The proposed December 2003 amendments with regards to gifts of property, if passed, will apply to gifts made on or after December 5, 2003

Limited Recourse Debt:

- The December 2003 draft amendments also preclude charitable receipts for limited recourse debt in respect of gifting arrangements

27

- Limited recourse debt is a form of tax shelter in which the tax-payer incurs a debt for which recourse is limited and which can reasonably be considered to be related to a charitable gifting arrangement
- Even in situations where the recourse is not limited, the debt may be deemed to be a limited recourse debt unless the arrangement is in writing to repay the debt within 10 years and interest is paid annually within 60 days of the debtor's taxation year at not less than CRA prescribed rate

28

- If a gift includes a limited recourse debt, then the amount of the loan would be deducted from the amount of the gift

Substantive Gifts:

- Substantive Gift Amendment is intended to prevent a donor from avoiding the application of the Deeming Provision by disposing of property to a charity and then donating the proceeds of disposition, rather than the donor donating the property directly to the charity

29

Anti-Avoidance Rule:

- In addition to the deeming provision, the December 2003 draft amendments introduced an anti-avoidance rule in subsection 248 (37)

Practical Implications:

- Charities will be required to inquire of donors of gift in kind when the property donated was acquired by the donors. Where possible, a written confirmation should be obtained from the donors to evidence the date of acquisition

30

- If the deeming provision applies, then the charity will need to inquire of the donor to determine the amount of the ACB of the gifted property, if applicable
- Charities may be required to inquire of donors of gifts in kind to determine whether the donors had an expectation to make a gift at the time when the donor acquired the property

31

- Charities receiving gifts of private shares will need to determine if the shares were acquired within three years prior to the making of the gift or whether such shares had been exchanged for another class of shares i.e. in an estate freeze, either within three years or for the purpose of making a gift
- The proposed amendments in relation to limited recourse debt, if passed, will apply to gifts made on or after February 19, 2003

32

6. Revocation of Registration of Charities

- Pursuant to the proposed December 2002 Amendments, subsection 149.1(2), (3) and (4) will be amended to permit the revocation of the charitable status if a charity *“makes a disbursement by way of a gift”* which is not a gift made *“in the course of charitable activities carried on by it”* or not a gift *“to a donee that is a qualified donee”* at the time of the gift
- All gifts made by a charity must be made in the course of furthering its charitable activities or transferred only to qualified donees

33

7. Additional Qualified Donee

- The February 27, 2004 Draft Amendments expand “qualified donees” to include a municipal or public body performing a function of a government in Canada
- This amendment is in response to the Quebec Court of Appeal decision in *Tawich Development Corporation v. Deputy Minister of Revenue of Quebec*, 2001 D.T.C. 5144

34

D. SELECTED HIGHLIGHTS FROM SEPTEMBER 2004 AMENDMENTS FOR THE MARCH 2004 BUDGET

1. Overview

- The March 2004 Federal Budget (the “Budget”) represents a major initiative by the Federal Government in rewriting the tax rules concerning the taxation and administration of charities
- Draft enabling legislation from the Budget was released on September 16, 2004
- The Budget reflects to a large extent the proposals of the Voluntary Sector Initiative’s Joint Regulatory Table, particularly as it relates to intermediate sanctions

35

- The Budget also rectifies a number of technical problems regarding disbursement quotas involving charities
- Amendments are expected to the legislation before it is introduced into Parliament

2. Intermediate Sanctions

Purpose of Intermediate Sanctions

- The Budget proposes a more responsive approach to the regulation of charities under the *Income Tax Act* by introducing sanctions that are more appropriate than revocation for relatively minor breaches of the *Income Tax Act*
- The sanction will apply in respect to taxation years that begin after March 22, 2004

36

Offence

Offence	First Infraction	Repeated Infraction (Within 10 years)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Late filing or failure to file T3010A • Issuing incomplete receipts • Carrying on prohibited business activity <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Private foundation – any business • Public foundation/organization – unrelated business • Foundation acquiring control of corporation • Undue personal benefit • Transfer among charities to avoid disbursements quota (joint and several liability with recipient charity) • Issuing receipts in taxation year that do not exceed \$20,000 if no gift or if receipt contains false information. • Issuing receipts totaling more than \$20,000 if no gift or receipt contains false information • Failure to comply with certain verification and enforcement requirements (ex. Keeping proper books and records) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$500 penalty • Penalty of 5% of eligible amount stated on receipt • Tax of 5% on gross revenue from activity • 5% tax on dividends paid to charity • Penalty of 105% of benefit • Tax of amount transferred and 10% of amount transferred • 125% tax on eligible amount of receipt • Tax of 125% of amount receipted and suspension of tax privileges • Suspension of tax receipting privileges 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$500 penalty May lead to Revocation • Penalty of 10% of eligible amount stated on receipt • Tax of 100% on gross revenue from activity and suspension of receipt privileges • 100% tax on dividends paid to charity • Penalty of 115% of benefit and suspension of tax receipt privileges • Tax of amount transferred and 10% of amount transferred • 125% tax on eligible amount of receipt • Tax of 125% of amount receipted and suspension of tax privileges • Suspension of tax receipting privileges

Undue Benefit

- Gifts other than to qualified donee
- The amount of any “rights, income, property or resources” paid, payable, assigned or otherwise made available to member, trustee, over 50% contributor or NAL person

Exceptions

- Reasonable remuneration or consideration for property acquired or services rendered
- Gift made or benefit conferred in course of charitable activities unless improper eligibility
- Gift to qualified donee

Tax/Penalty

- Sanctioned charity can transfer amount of tax, penalty to CRA or to another charity (arms length)
- Arms length – more than 50% of directors deal at arms length with all directors of taxed charity

Revocation

- Still available for any offence and can be applied with intermediate sanctions
- Revocation Tax
 - In lieu of paying revocation tax to CRA
 - Equivalent amount can be paid to eligible transferees i.e. other registered charities dealing at arms length (pre budget the revocation tax would be paid to a “qualified donee” but CRA concerned about transfers to NAL charities)
 - Pre budget – revocation tax applied 1 year after revocation
 - Proposed amendments – tax assessed immediately, collection suspended for one year

3. Annulment

- Where registration obtained in error or if charity ceases to be a charity because of changes in the law
 - No effect on issued receipts
 - No 100% Part V revocation tax or other penalty will be charged
- Useful tool – permits errors to be rectified without negative public notice which goes with Notice of Revocation

41

4. Appeals Regime

- Pre Budget
 - Federal Court of Appeal judicial review
 - No administrative appeal process
 - Costly, ineffective
- Post Budget

Internal Reconsideration Process

- The Budget will extend the application of CRA’s existing internal objection review process to notices of a decision regarding
 - Denial of applications for charitable status
 - Revocation or annulments of a charity’s registration

42

- Designation of a charity as a private or public foundation or charitable organization
- Imposition of any taxes or penalties against a registered charity

External Appeals Process

- Appeals of intermediate taxes and penalties means trial de novo to Tax Court of Canada (after going through internal process)
- Appeals of refusal to register, revocation, annulment, charitable designation is done by way of judicial review to Federal Court of Appeal

43

Stay of Appeal

- **Revocation**
 - revocations – 1st proposed by notice
 - MNR may then revoke 30 days after notice
 - **Right of charity to appeal revocation and decision begins at time of notice**
 - **practice of CRA – not to actually revoke until appeal to CRA has run its course**
 - **review if CRA not accommodating – can appeal to Federal Court of Appeal for stay pending court decision**

44

- **Suspension of receiving privileges**
 - takes effect 7 days after notice mailed
 - charity may apply to Tax Court for postponement of beginning of suspension
 - court may only postpone if finds it is “just and equitable” to do so
 - not necessary to file appeal to request postponement
- **Appeals of penalty tax**
 - usual stay pending appeal applies (225.1(2))

45

5. Additional Information Available to Public

Increased Transparency

- **Financial information**
- **Registration/annulment correspondence from CRA**
- **CRA decisions re objections to assessment of tax or penalties**
- **Material filed and CRA responses re requested exemption, special status (ex. permission to accumulate)**
- **Information detailing application of sanctions**
 - Identification of charity
 - Sanction imposed
 - Grounds for sanction

46

- **Increased website information**
 - Reasons for registration decisions
 - Policies
 - Procedures
 - Research database
- **Will help charities in regulating their activities and to comply with law and CRA administrative positions**
- **Additional Information required on official tax receipts (2005)**
 - Name and website address of CRA
- **Charities Advisory Committee**
 - Greater impact by charities into shaping of tax rules affecting charities

- 6. Disbursement Quota Rules**
- a) **Overview**
- **The Budget includes proposals to remedy technical problems with disbursement quota**
 - **Draft enabling legislation for the Budget was released on September 16, 2004 and includes significant changes to the calculation of the disbursement quota**

- **The proposed changes to the disbursement quota in the September 16, 2004 draft legislation has made as an already complicated formula even more unintelligible and unworkable**
 - **The disbursement formula has been amended to provide as follows: (with amendments underlined)**
- A + A.1 + A.2 + B + {C x 0.035[D - (E + F)]}/365**

b) Proposed changes to Disbursement Quota Formula

Reduction of Disbursement Quota Rate

- The good news is that the September 16, 2004 legislation proposes to reduce the 4.5% disbursement quota that currently applies to public and private foundations to a more manageable disbursement quota of 3.5%

Extension of 3.5% Disbursement Quota to Charitable Organizations

- In the past, only public and private foundations were subject to a disbursement quota upon its capital assets not used in charitable activities

50

- The September 16, 2004 legislation proposes that the reduced 3.5% disbursement quota on surplus capital assets will also apply to charitable organizations registered after March 23, 2004 (after 2008 for charitable organizations registered before March 23, 2004)
- Further amendments are expected to exempt the extension of the 3.5% disbursement quota to charitable organizations that meet a *de minimis* threshold of no more than \$25,000 in surplus capital assets
- Hopefully the *de minimis* threshold will be increased to at least \$250,000

51

Realizing Capital Gains from Endowments

- The previous anomaly that 80% of the disbursement of the capital gain of a ten year gift had to be added to the disbursement quota of a charity is now alleviated by reducing the 80% disbursement quota by the lesser of 80% of the capital gain realized on the disposition and 3.5% of capital assets not needed in charitable activities
- The September 16, 2004 legislation proposes to combine 10 year gifts and gifts of capital property from estates under the new term of “enduring property”

52

- The September 16, 2004 legislation also introduces the concept of a “capital gains pool”, which in essence consists of the amount of capital gains of a charity resulting from disposition of “enduring property”
- A charity will now be able to encroach on the capital of a ten year gift, provided that the terms of the gift so permit, but only up to the amount of the 3.5% disbursement quota, but for practical purposes is limited to the amount of the “capital gains pool”, since anything above the “capital gains pool” will be added back into the 80% disbursement quota for the charity

53

- The combination of the new concept of “capital gains pool” and the limited ability to encroach on a ten year gift as part of “enduring property” will make the calculation of the disbursement quota complicated and difficult for charities to comply with
- It is likely that further amendments will permit charities to opt out of capital gains pool
- However, a charity will still need to understand what a capital gains pool is in order to decide whether to opt out

54

Transfer of “Enduring Property”

- “Enduring property” (which includes 10 year gifts) is not included in the 80% disbursement quota in the following taxation year
- The September 16, 2004 legislation proposes that “enduring property” received by a registered charity from another registered charity will result in the same treatment of that gift as if the “enduring property” had been received directly from the original donor, i.e. 80% of it will not need to be expended in the following taxation year

55

Gifts Transferred to Charitable Organizations

- The September 16, 2004 legislation proposes that all transfer of funds from one registered charity to another, including transfers to a charitable organization, will be subject to the 80% disbursement requirement, i.e. 80% of the gift must be expended in the following taxation year
- Previously charitable organizations were exempt from the 80% disbursement quota involving transfer of funds to other charities

56

- Now gifts to charitable organizations will need to comply with the specified gift rules in order to avoid having to expend 80% in the following taxation year unless it is a transfer of “enduring property”
- There will be three choices in categorizing inter charity transfers
 - Undesignated transfer
 - Enduring property
 - Specified gift
- However, problems in the disbursement quota formula can occur for the transferring charity if an enduring property is designated in the transfer as a specified gift

57

c) Gifts Made By Way Of Direct Designation

- Where an individual has designed in his/her will a charity as a direct beneficiary of the individual’s RRSP, RRIF or life insurance policy, the September 16, 2004 legislation proposes to treat such gifts as “enduring property” for the purposes of the disbursement quota rules
- This will mean that direct designation of RRSP, RRIF and life insurance proceeds will be subject only to the 3.5% disbursement quota while they are held as capital and then subject to the 80% disbursement quota requirement in the year in which they are disbursed

58

DISCLAIMER

This handout is provided as an information service by Carter & Associates. It is current only as of the date of the handout and does not reflect subsequent changes in law. This handout is distributed with the understanding that it does not constitute legal advice or establish the solicitor/client relationship by way of any information contained herein. The contents are intended for general information purposes only and under no circumstances can be relied upon for legal decision-making. Readers are advised to consult with a qualified lawyer and obtain a written opinion concerning the specifics of their particular situation.
© 2004 Carter & Associates
