ASSOCIATION OF FUNDRAISING PROFESSIONALS

Toronto – May 26, 2004

"FABULOUS FUN WITH FINANCIAL FUNDAMENTALS" A.K.A. LOOKING A GIFT HORSE IN THE MOUTH – LEGAL LIABILITIES IN FUNDRAISING

(Power Point Presentation)

By Terrance S. Carter, B.A., LL.B.

© 2004 Carter & Associates



CARTER & ASSOCIATES

BARRISTERS, SOLICITORS & TRADE-MARK AGENT Affiliated with **Fasken Martineau DuMoulin** LLP **Main Office Location**

211 Broadway, P.O. Box 440 Orangeville, Ontario, Canada, L9W 1K4 Tel: (519) 942-0001 Fax: (519) 942-0300 **Toll Free: 1-877-942-0001**

www.carters.

Toronto Meeting Location

Toronto Dominion Bank Tower, Suite 4200
TD Centre, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
(by appointment) Tel: (416) 675-3766
"Proactive Advice"

www.charitylaw.@

Overview

- Legal Responsibility of Charities and Directors in Fundraising
- Developing a Proactive Risk Management Approach to Fundraising
- Donor's Rights and Remedies in Fundraising
- Avoiding Liability from Testamentary Charitable Gifts
- Avoiding Liability from Donor Restricted Charitable Gifts

2

- Avoiding Liability in Gift and Fundraising Programs
- Recent Changes to the *Income Tax Act* Affecting Charitable Receipting
- Selected Highlights from the 2004 Budget Affecting Fundraising

This power point is a selective summary of a recent article entitled "Looking a Gift Horse in the Mouth" Avoiding Liability in Charitable Fundraising available at www.charitylaw.ca

Resource Materials

- www.charitylaw.ca
 - Charity Law Bulletins #8, #9, #13, #17, #21, #23 #35, #40 and #41
 - Article entitled "Looking a Gift Horse in the Mouth - Avoiding Legal Liability in Fundraising"
 - Article entitled "Donor Restricted Charitable Gifts Revisited: A Practical Overview"
 - Article entitled "Recent Changes to the Income Tax Act Affecting Charities"
- www.antiterrorismlaw.ca
 - Article entitled "Charities and Compliance with Anti-terrorism Legislation: The Shadow of the Law"

4

Legal Responsibility of Charities and Directors in Fundraising

- Improper or negligent actions by development officers or fundraisers may expose a charity and its directors to legal liability
- The court held in *The Aids Society for Children* (Ontario) that
 - Although a charity does not hold its charitable property in trust for its charitable purpose, a charity has a fiduciary obligation to apply donations for its charitable purposes

Ę



- A fiduciary has a legal obligation to put the interests of others ahead of the interests of the fiduciary
- There is little practical distinction for directors between being a trustee and having fiduciary obligations
- A charity and its directors have a fiduciary obligation to account to the public for all funds raised from donors
- Charities and directors therefore have a fiduciary obligation to donors to ensure that donations are applied for the charitable purposes of the charity

- It is essential for charities and their directors to review charitable objects on a regular basis and amend those objects as necessary
- Third party fundraisers and subcontractors are agents of the charity and may cause liability for both the charity and its board of directors personally
- Fundraising contracts which provide for unreasonable compensation may be voidable based upon both violation of public policy and/or misrepresentation

- Misrepresentation is determined by the perception of the donor, not by the intent of the charity or its directors in receiving the gifts
- The fiduciary duty of a charity and its board of directors to account for donations applies to the gross amount of donations raised by third party fundraisers, not to the net amount that the charity may be entitled to pursuant to a fundraising contract
- Fundraising costs of between 70% to 80% rendered the contracts void as being contrary to public policy

- The directors were found personally liable for unreasonable fundraising costs in the amount of \$766,000
- Fundraising companies were required to repay unreasonable fundraising costs
- The directors were subjected to a penalty of \$50,000.00 under the Charities Accounting Act (Ontario)
- The court in National Society for Abused Women and Children confirmed
 - Fiduciary obligation of directors to account for unconscionable fundraising costs

- Fundraising contract was declared void abinitio as being contrary to public policy
- Donors are entitled to know about fundraising and administrative costs when making donations
- For more information on these cases, see Charity Law Bulletins #9, #13 and #17 at www.charitylaw.ca
- The "buck" stops with the board of directors of a charity after everyone else has left the charity
- The board of directors must therefore be made familiar with all fundraising programs and the liabilities that are associated with those programs

Developing a Proactive Risk Management Approach to Fundraising

- Legal liability in fundraising can be reduced by developing a proactive legal risk management approach to fundraising
- Fundraising must comply with the applicable corporate objects and powers of the charity
 - The fundraising program must not be ultra vires the charitable objects of the charity
 - The charitable purpose being furthered by fundraising must not be *ultra vires* the charitable objects
 - A donor restricted gift resulting from fundraising must not be *ultra vires* the charitable objects



- Fundraising must not violate applicable statutory provisions
 - Selected specific charitable statutes affecting fundraising
 - Charities Accounting Act (Ontario)
 - Charitable Gifts Act (Ontario)
 - Religious Organizations Land Act (Ontario)
 - Income Tax Act (Canada)
 - Charitable Fund-raising Act (Alberta)

- The Charities Endorsement Act (Manitoba)
- Charities Act (PEI)
- Charitable Fund-raising Businesses Act (Saskatchewan)
- Anti-terrorism Act (Canada)
- Taxation Act (Quebec)
- Fundraising must not involve gifts that are contrary to public policy
 - Charitable gifts involving discrimination
 - Charitable gifts involving illegal activities

Donor's Rights And Remedies In Fundraising

- General exposure to liability involving donors
 - Misrepresentation involving issuance of charitable receipts and/or the amount
 - Failure to comply with donor restrictions
 - Failure to disclose excessive fundraising costs
 - Detrimental reliance upon charitable endorsements
 - Detrimental reliance upon improper tax advice involving donations
 - Breach of fiduciary duty and/or breach of trust in applying funds to charitable purposes

14

- Donor's statutory rights
 - Charities Accounting Act (Ontario)
 - Section 6 of the CAA (public inquiry)
 - Section 10 of the CAA (alleged breach of trust)
 - Section 4(d) of the CAA (noncompliance with donor directions)
 - Section 3 of the CAA (formal passing of accounts)
 - The *Income Tax Act* (Canada)
 - Informal complaint to CRA
 - Resulting audits
 - Receipting and disbursement violations



Avoiding Liability from Testamentary Charitable Gifts

- Reducing legal risks from estate planning programs
 - Shift the legal risk away from the charity
 - Download the risk to professionals, i.e. accountants or lawyers, to establish evidence of due diligence
 - Raise the shield of liability insurance whenever possible, if available
 - Return any original wills or codicils to donors or their lawyers

16

- Avoid circumstances conducive to allegations of undue influence
 - Directing work to a particular lawyer
 - Paying for a portion of donor's legal costs
 - Acting as either an estate trustee (executor) or attorney under a power of attorney
 - · Preparing a will or power of attorney
 - Providing advice on how to structure disposition clauses in a will
 - Providing recommendations on how much of the estate should be given to a charity or charities in general

- Completing the will guide on behalf of the testator instead of only assisting with background information
- Meeting with the lawyer when the donor gives instructions for the will
- Being present when the will is being signed
- Offering to store the original will, codicil to a will, or power of attorney
- Managing testamentary gifts
 - Ensure that a copy of the will is received and carefully review charitable gift provisions
 - Review any applicable donor restrictions before agreeing to receive the gift
 - Require progress reports on the administration of an estate
 - Request the distribution of gifts to the estate at the earliest opportunity
 - Have legal counsel review estate releases as the charity can not sign an indemnity for money or cause of action beyond what the estate would have otherwise been liable for
 - Have legal counsel review estate accounts before signing estate releases
 - Review appropriateness of investments
 - Ensure that tax credits are used against 100% of income in the year of death and carried back one year, if necessary

- Make sure that only duly authorized signing officers execute the releases
- Resist voluntarily renouncement of a charitable gift
 - A charity may be asked to renounce a testamentary gift in situations of financial hardship involving family members of the deceased
 - There is no legal authority for a charity to unilaterally renounce a gift
 - Even court authorization for a renunciation of a testamentary gift is unlikely
 - The charity therefore has a fiduciary obligation to pursue testamentary gifts

Avoiding Liability from Donor Restricted Charitable Gifts

- The difference between unrestricted and donor restricted charitable gifts
 - What is an unrestricted charitable gift?
 - An unrestricted charitable gift is a gift to the charity that is not subject to any restrictions or limitations
 - What is a donor restricted charitable gift?
 - A donor restricted charitable gift that is a gift subject to binding restrictions, conditions or limitations



- Instances of breach of trust involving donor restricted charitable gifts
 - Diverting a fund to another application
 - Withholding a fund
 - Pooling restricted funds with funds of another donor
 - Encroaching on the capital of an endowment fund
 - Altering the terms of a trust deed
 - Borrowing from a restricted fund
 - Using surplus funds from a fundraising appeal for a different purpose

- Altering terms of a donor restricted fund without court authorization
- Can a donor restriction be unilaterally varied?
 - Only a court can vary a donor restricted charitable gift on a cyprés application
 - Exceptions are
 - Gift reverting to the donor on a failed *cyprés* application
 - Gift reverting to the donor on the failure of either a condition precedent or a condition subsequent



- How should donor restricted gifts be managed once received?
 - Identify the nature of the charitable gift
 - Review and approve donor restrictions
 - Effective ongoing management of donor restricted charitable gifts
 - Deposit into the bank account of the named charity
 - Invest fund in accordance with applicable investment power
 - · Do not borrow against restricted fund
 - Commingle restricted funds only in accordance with regulations in Ontario and not with general funds

- How can donor restricted charitable gifts be avoided in the first instance?
 - Encourage unrestricted gifts
 - Alternatively encourage the use of nonbinding directions
 - Advise donors that all gifts are deemed to be unrestricted unless specifically stated otherwise



- Preventative steps to reduce liability involving donor restricted charitable gifts
 - Public fundraising appeals should state that any surplus funds will be used for the general charitable purposes of the charity
 - Ensure that donor restricted gift includes a cyprés clause that will allow the charity to amend the purpose
 - Ensure that documentation creating donor restricted charitable trusts include the words "in trust"

- Protecting donor restricted charitable gifts
 - Background of Christian Brothers series of decisions
 - Exigibility of special purpose charitable trusts
 - Commentary on the Christian Brothers Ont.
 Court of Appeal decision
 - Decision is at odds with common law that states that trust property is not subject to claims against the trustee
 - Misunderstanding of what a charitable purpose trust is
 - Limited application of the decision provides little comfort



- Impact of the Christian Brothers Ont. Court of Appeal decision
 - Claims against charities will likely increase
 - Special purpose trust endowments will be at risk to creditors of the charity
 - The ability of donors to create enforceable restricted gifts will be weakened
 - Donors will be reluctant to give large gifts directly to an operating charity

- Developing a strategy in response
 - Utilize an arms length parallel foundation
 - Utilize a community foundation or trust company
 - Structure gift as a determinative gift with a gift over to another charity
 - For more information see

 www.charitylaw.ca article on "Donor

 Restricted Charitable Gifts Revisited: A

 Practical Overview"



Avoiding Liability in Gift and Fundraising Programs

- Gifts of Shares
 - Gift of shares or interests in a business will be subjected to the Charitable Gifts Act (Ontario)
 - Charities can not own more than a 10% interest in a business for longer than 7 years
 - If a charity owns more than a 50% interest in a business then reporting requirements to P.G.T. apply
- Potential liability in relation to improper valuing and receipting of shares of publicly traded companies

30

- Need to review CRA position on determining fair market value
- Need to review factors outlined by CRA in valuing shares as set out in Registered Charity Newsletter No. 12
- Gifts of real estate
 - Three year restrictions on property investments under the Charities Accounting Act (Ontario)
 - Liability for toxic property and need for environmental assessment
 - Need for due diligence searches
 - Inability of charity to manage real property

- Receiving used "gifts in kind"
 - Need for appraised fair market value
 - Potential liability to third parties from using recycled property
- Self insured gift annuities
 - The difference between self insured and reinsured gift annuities
 - Self insured gift annuity
 - · Reinsured gift annuity
 - Legal risks associated with self insured annuities
 - Lack of corporate authority

- Violation of the Insurance Act (Ontario)
- Operational financial risks
- Restrictions on foundations issuing annuities
- Debt instruments forgivable on death
 - Need testamentary instrument to forgive debt
 - If not properly forgiven, will become an asset owing to the estate
- Bill C-45 Amendments to the Criminal Code (Westray Mines)
 - In effect criminalizes situations which previously were only matters of negligence

- Charities, directors and officers may be exposed to personal liability
- insurance may not be available for defence costs
- See Charity Law Bulletin #35 at www.charitylaw.ca for more details
- Transferring capital funds between charities
 - Ensure that there are charitable objects to permit the transfer of funds
 - Identify donor restricted charitable gifts
 - Identify impossible or impractical donor restrictions

- Change of trustees by deed of trust
- Unrestricted funds to be applied for original charitable purpose
- Investment issues in fundraising
 - Determine what investment power applies
 - Review prudent investment standard
 - New delegation of investment decision making under *Trustee Act* (Ontario)
 - See <u>www.charitylaw.ca</u>, Charity Law Bulletin #8 for more details



- Managed or pooled investment of charitable funds
 - Does the recipient charity have the corporate power to operate a pooled fund?
 - Does the investment power of each participating charity permit it to invest charitable monies by pooling monies with a third party?
 - Does the Loan and Trust Corporations Act
 (Ontario) have application?
 - Does the Bank Act (Canada) have application?
 - Does the Securities Act (Ontario) have application?
 - Is court authorization required to pool investment funds of various charities?

- Federal *Competition Act* Deceptive telemarketing & false or misleading misrepresentation
 - Definition of "business" includes the raising of funds for charitable or other non-profit purposes
 - Telemarketing is prohibited unless there is statutorily mandated disclosure
 - Violation of the *Competitions Act* constitutes a criminal offence
 - A due diligence defense is available
 - Directors and officers of a charity can be held personally liable



- The prohibition on false or misleading representation applies to telemarketing, doorto-door solicitation, and items offered for sale by the charity
- A false or misleading representation does not require that it be proven that any person was deceived or mislead
- Legal issues involving fundraising on the internet
 - Territorial jurisdictional issues
 - Intellectual property law issues
 - Potential for civil action from the internet
 - Domain names, trade-marks and the internet

- Marketing and advertising on the internet
- PIPEDA and provincial privacy legislation
- Legal issues in sponsorship arrangements
 - Distinguishing between receiptable donations and non-receiptable sponsorship payments
 - The importance of documenting sponsorship arrangements
 - Protecting and licensing trade-marks in sponsorship arrangements
 - Liability exposure from sponsorship arrangements



- Fundraising Liability and Anti-terrorism
 - Legislation is very complicated, see
 www.antiterrorismlaw.ca for article "Charities and Compliance with Anti-terrorism
 Legislation: The Shadow of the Law"
 - Charity and its directors need to have a working knowledge of the anti-terrorism legislation in making a gift to charity

- Even gifts that unintentionally end up in the wrong hands through agents of the charity can violate anti-terrorism legislation and create exposure to liability for the charity and its board
- A charity could lose its charitable status
- Directors, donors and fundraisers could be found personally liable
- Need to develop a due diligence checklist to avoid unintentional violations of the legislation
- However, anti-terrorism legislation generally involves strict liability legislation so due diligence is not necessarily a defence



Recent Changes to the *Income Tax Act*Affecting Charitable Receipting

Revised Draft Technical Amendments to the *Income Tax Act* were introduced on February 27, 2004. The major proposed amendments reflecting earlier draft amendments are summarized below:

- 1. New Definition of Gift
- The traditional common law definition of a gift requires:
 - The donor must have an intention to give
 - There must be a transfer of property

42

- The transfer must be made voluntarily without contractual obligation
- No consideration or advantage can be received by the donor
- Therefore a contract to dispose of property to a charity at a price below fair market value would not generally be considered a gift at common law for which a charitable receipt could be issued for the difference in price



- Draft amendments to the *Income Tax Act* in December of 2002 and December of 2003 create a new concept of "gift" for tax purposes which permits a donor to receive a tax credit under the Act even though the donor receives a benefit, provided that the value of the property exceeds the benefit received by the donor
- The draft amendments reflect an importation of the civil law concept of gift which permits a benefit back to the donor

- While a gift with an advantage may be deemed a gift under the *Income Tax Act*, it will not be a gift at common law and therefore there will be no transfer of title
- Utilizing a contract in order to transfer title may raise questions of donative intent that could preclude a gift for tax purposes
- In order to document the transfer of title where there is an advantage to the donor, and the expectation of a charitable receipt, the alternative of doing so by making use of a charitable trust should be considered



2. New Split-Receipting Rules

- The key requirements of what will be recognized as a gift for income tax purposes for split receipting based on the new definition of gift reflected in the December 2002 and December 2003 amendments are as follows:
 - There must be voluntary transfer of property with a clearly ascertainable value
 - Any advantage received by the donor must be clearly identified and its value ascertainable

46

- There must be a clear donative intent by the donor to benefit the charity
- Donative intent will generally be presumed provided that the fair market value of the advantage does not exceed 80% of the value of the gift
- The eligible amount of a gift will be the excess of the value of the property transferred over the amount of the advantage received by the donor



- The amount of the advantage is the total value of all property, services, compensation or other benefits to which the donor, or a person not dealing at arms length with the donor, has received or obtained or is entitled either immediately or in the future as partial consideration for or in gratitude for the gift or that is in any other way related to the gift
- Excluded from the value of the advantage is token consideration for the gift calculated on the basis of a "de minimis threshold" of the lesser of 10% of the value of the gift and \$75.00

- The charitable receipt will now need to identify the advantage and the amount of the advantage as well as the eligible amount of the resulting gift
- The advantage can be received prior to, at the same time as, or subsequent to the making of the gift
- It is not necessary for a causal relationship to exist between the making of the gift and the receiving of the advantage as long as they are "in any other way" related to each other



- Therefore, if a donor makes a gift in consideration of the charity employing his spouse, or the charity hires his spouse in gratitude of the gift being made in the future, then the value of the advantage would need to include the employment of the spouse
- In addition, the advantage could even be provided by third parties unbeknownst to the charity, which fact may necessitate that charities make inquiries of donors if they have received a related benefit from anyone

- A receipt can be issued where the advantage received by the donor (less any token consideration based upon the "de minimis threshold" of the lesser of 10% of the value of the gift and \$75.00) does not exceed 80% of the value of the gift.
- For example, the ticket price for a table of 8 at a fundraising dinner is \$2,000.00, the fair market value of the dinner is \$800.00, the value of complimentary items; i.e., the door prizes and table gifts is \$300.00



Total price for a table of 8 \$2000.00

Less:

value of dinner \$800.00complimentary items \$300.00

(complimentary items exceed the lesser of 10% of \$2000.00 or \$75.00)

Total value of advantage received by the donor \$1,100.00

Eligible amount of charitable receipt \$ 900.00

52

- Split receipting at auctions
 - Generally, since the bid value at an auction is considered to be the fair market value, no charitable receipt can be issued for an auctioned item
 - However, when the value of an item can be clearly determined and is disclosed to all bidders in advance, the eligible amount for receipting would be the difference between the amount bid and the posted value
 - Where donative intent is established (i.e. in instances where the posted value of the item is not more than 80% of the accepted bid), a receipt may be issued for the eligible amount



Purchases of service at auctions

- Where a purchased service has an established fair market value that has been identified to all bidders at the auction before the opening bid, a receipt can be issued to the purchaser for the "eligible amount" where donative intent exists
- The eligible amount for the value of the service would be the difference between the amount paid and the amount of the advantage

54

3. The Evolving Shutdown of Tax Shelter Donation Programs

Definition of Tax Shelter:

- A tax shelter is defined under the *Income Tax Act* as any property for which a promotion represents that an investor can claim deductions or credits which equal or exceed the actual amount of the investment within four years of its purchase
- The definition of tax shelter was amended in the February 2003 Budget to include tax credits on charitable donations and limited recourse debt
- This meant that tax shelter donation programs with promises of net return on investments were required to be registered as tax shelters



Description of Tax Shelter Donation Program:

• Tax shelter donation programmes are structured on the fact that the item in question is purchased at a substantially lower price than its much higher fair market value, and that a donation receipt is issued by a registered charity for the fair market value when the item is donated to it

56

Warnings By CRA:

- CRA provided warnings to charities considering becoming involved in donation tax shelters
 - CRA's Fact Sheet entitled "Canada Customs and Revenue Agency Reminds Investors of Risks Associated with Tax Shelters" stated that registration as a tax shelter "does not indicate that the CRA guarantees an investment or authorizes any resulting tax benefits" and that "CRA uses this identification number later to identify unacceptable tax avoidance arrangements"



CRA's Fact Sheet concerning Art-Donation
 Schemes or 'Art-Flipping' indicated that third
 party penalty can include charities that receive
 the donation if "it knows – or if it can
 reasonably be expected to have known – that
 the appraised value were incorrect"

December 2003 and February 2004 Amendments:

• The December 5, 2003 draft amendments to the *Income Tax Act* are attempting to shut down tax shelter donation programs by severely restricting the tax benefits from donations made under tax shelter donation arrangements

58

New Deeming Provision:

• The proposed amendment deems the fair market value of property donated for the purpose of issuing charitable receipts to be the lesser of (i) the fair market value of the property and (ii) the cost (or the adjusted cost base where applicable) of the property to the tax-payer immediately before the gift is made in the following three situations:

- 1) If the tax-payer acquired the property less than three years before the gift was made
- 2) If the tax-payer acquires the property through a "gifting arrangement" as defined in section 237.1 of the ITA, i.e. where it is represented that the acquisition of the property would generate any combination of tax credits or deduction that in total would equal or exceed the cost of acquiring the property in question, whether or not it was acquired within three years

- 3) If it was reasonable to conclude that when the tax-payer acquired the property, the tax-payer expected to make a gift of the property, but with the burden being on the donor to prove that the donor did not have an intention to make a gift when the property was acquired
- The deeming provision does not apply to inventory, real property situated in Canada, certified cultural property, publicly traded shares and ecological gifts
- The deeming provision also does not apply to situations where the gift is made as a consequence of the donor's death



• The proposed December 5, 2003 amendments with regards to gifts of property, if passed, will apply to gifts made on or after December 5, 2003

Limited Recourse Debt:

- The December 5, 2003 draft amendments also preclude charitable receipts for limited recourse debt in respect of gifting arrangements
- Limited recourse debt is a form of tax shelter in which the tax-payer incurs a debt for which recourse is limited and which can reasonably be considered to be related to a charitable gifting arrangement

62

Substantive Gifts:

- The February 2004 Amendments propose the insertion of a new subsection 248(38) that applies to gifts made after that date
- Subsection 248(38) is intended to prevent a donor from avoiding the application of the Deeming Provision by disposing of property to a charity and then donating the proceeds of disposition, rather than the donor donating the property directly to the charity
- The property disposed of by the donor is referred to as "substantive gift" and only applies to capital property and eligible capital property not already exempted under subsection 248(38)



Anti-Avoidance Rule:

• In addition to the deeming provision, the December 2003 amendments introduced an antiavoidance rule in subsection 248 (37) that if one of the reasons for a series of transactions that includes a disposition or acquisition of property is to increase the amount of the FMV of the gift, then the cost of the property for receipting shall be deemed to be the lowest cost to the donor to acquire the property in question or "an identical property" at any time

64

Practical Implications:

- Charities will be required to inquire of donors of gift in kind when the property donated was acquired by the donors. Where possible, a written confirmation should be obtained from the donors to evidence the date of acquisition
- If the deeming provision applies, then the charity will need to inquire of the donor to determine the amount of the ACB of the gifted property, if applicable
- Charities may be required to inquire of donors of gifts in kind to determine whether the donors had an expectation to make a gift at the time when the donor acquired the property

- Charities receiving gifts of private shares will need to determine if the shares were acquired within three years prior to the making of the gift or whether such shares had been exchanged for another class of shares i.e. in an estate freeze, either within three years or for the purpose of making a gift
- The proposed amendments in relation to limited recourse debt, if passed, will apply to gifts made on or after February 19, 2003

Selected Highlights from the 2004 Budget Affecting Fundraising

- 1. Overview
- The 2004 Federal Budget (the "Budget") represents a major initiative by the Federal Government in rewriting the tax rules concerning the taxation and administration of charities
- The Budget reflects to a large extent the proposals of the Voluntary Sector Initiative's Joint Regulatory Table, particularly as it relates to intermediate taxes and sanctions

- The Budget also rectifies a number of technical problems regarding disbursement quotas involving charities
- 2. Intermediate Sanctions and Related Matters
 Intermediate taxes and penalties
 - The Budget proposes a more responsive approach to the regulation of charities under the *Income Tax Act* by introducing sanctions that are more appropriate than revocation for relatively minor breaches of the *Income Tax Act*
 - The sanction will apply in respect to taxation years that begin after March 22, 2004

Taxation of Gross Revenue

• Gross revenue generated by a registered charity from prohibited activities will be taxed at rates between 5% for first infractions up to 100% for repeat infractions

Suspension of Tax Receipting Privileges

 Registered charity tax receipting privileges will be suspended for using donated funds other than for charitable purposes and for failure to comply with certain verification and enforcement sections of the *Income Tax Act*



- Where a registered charity provides undue benefits to "any person", including "trustees", there will also be the imposition of a 105% tax for a first infraction and 110% tax for a second infraction on the amount of the undue benefit
- Directors of charities will become obligated to ensure that the salaries paid to its employees are reasonable in the circumstances

Monetary Penalties

• Imposes monetary penalties of \$500.00 for failure to file annual returns, together with the publication of the names of late or non-filers

70

Tax on Gifts and Transfers to Other Registered Charities

- Where a registered charity issues receipts with incomplete information, there will be a 5% penalty on the eligible amount stated on the receipt for a first infraction, and a 10% penalty on repeat infractions
- Where a charity is involved in delaying the expenditure of money on charitable activities by transferring the funds to another registered charity, both charities involved will be jointly and separately liable for the amounts so transferred, together with a 10% tax on such amounts



3. New Disbursement Quota Rules

Reduction of Disbursement Quota Rate

 The Budget proposes to reduce the 4.5% disbursement quota that currently applies to public and private foundations to a more manageable rate of 3.5%

Extension of 3.5% Disbursement Quota to Charitable Organizations

• In the past, only public and private foundations were subject to a disbursement quota upon its capital assets not used in charitable activities

72

 The Budget proposes that the reduced 3.5% disbursement quota on capital assets will also apply to charitable organizations

Realizing Capital Gains from Endowments

- The Budget proposes to rename 10 year gifts as endowments
- It appears that the intent of the Budget is to allow the expenditure of capital gains accruing on the original endowment, provided that the terms of the endowment do not preclude the expenditure of capital gains



• The previous anomaly that 80% of the disbursement of the capital gain had to be added to the disbursement quota of a charity will now be alleviated by reducing the 80% disbursement quota by the lesser of 80% of the capital gain realized on the disposition and 3.5% of the value of all property not used directly in charitable activities for administration

Transfer of Endowments

• The Budget proposes that an endowment received by a registered charity from another registered charity would result in the same treatment as if the endowment had been received directly from the original donor

74

Gifts Transferred to Charitable Organizations

• The Budget proposes that all transfers from one registered charity to another, including transfers to a charitable organization, will be subject to the 80% disbursement requirement

- 4. Gifts Made By Way Of Direct Designation
- Where an individual has designed in his/her will a charity as a direct beneficiary of the individual's RRSP, RRIF or life insurance policy, the Budget proposes to treat such gifts as endowments for the purposes of the disbursement quota rules
- This will mean that direct designation of RRSP, RRIF and life insurance proceeds will be subject only to the 3.5% disbursement quota while they are held as capital and then subject to the 80% disbursement quota requirement in the year in which they are disbursed



CARTER & ASSOCIATES
BARRISTERS, SOLICITORS & TRADE-MARK AGENT
Affiliated with Fasken Martineau DuMoulin LLP

211 Broadway, P.O. Box 440 Orangeville, Ontario L9W 1K4 Phone: (519) 942-0001 Fax: (519) 942-0300

www.carters.@ www.charitylaw.@

DISCLAIMER

This handout is provided as an information service by Carter & Associates. It is current only as of the date of the handout and does not reflect subsequent changes in law. This handout is distributed with the understanding that it does not constitute legal advice or establish the solicitor/client relationship by way of any information contained herein. The contents are intended for general information purposes only and under no circumstances can be relied upon for legal decision-making. Readers are advised to consult with a qualified lawyer and obtain a written opinion concerning the specifics of their particular situation. © 2004 Carter & Associates