Canadian Council for International Co-operation An Overview of the Impact of the Anti-terrorism Act (Bill C-36) on Charities: Toward Developing a Due Diligence Response

For Background Materials see Article "Pro-Active Protection of Charitable Assets" and "Charity Law Bulletin #10, #11 and #12 at www.antiterrorismlaw.ca

March 7, 2002

Terrance S. Carter*

Carter & Associates
211 Broadway
Orangeville, Ontario L9W 1K4
(519) 942-0001

www.antiterrorismlaw.ca

www.charitylaw.ca

www.carters.ca

Affiliated with and Counsel* to Fasken Martineau DuMoulin LLP

Overview of Topics

- Preliminary Comments
- Overview of Bill C-36
- Criminal Code Definitions Under Bill C-36 That Impact Charities
- Specific Criminal Code Offences That Impact Charities
- The Charities Registration (Security Information) Act Part 6 (Previously Bill C-16)
- Proceeds of Crime (Money Laundering) Act (Part 4)
- The Risk to Charities From Bill C-36
- Developing a Due Diligence Response to Bill C-36

1. Preliminary Comments

- Bill C-36 Is an Extremely Complicated Piece of Legislation That Involves Co-ordinating the Provisions of Many Federal Acts
- Bill C-36 Needs to Be Read in Conjunction With Bill C-35 and Bill C-42
- The Full Impact of Bill C-36 May Not Be Fully Understood for Years
- Charities Will Need to Become Familiar With the Many Provisions of Bill C-36 and Guard Against Becoming Unwittingly Caught by the Legislation
- All Charities Will Need to Ensure Compliance With Bill C-36, Not Just International NGO's
- Charities Will Need to Become Proactive in
 - Understanding the Law
 - Striving Toward Due Diligence in Ensuring Compliance With the Law

3

2. Overview of Bill C-36

- Part 6 of Bill C-36 Dealing With Deregistration of Charities and Refusal to Grant Charitable Status Was Introduced in March 2001 As Bill C-16 but Was Withdrawn Because of Opposition
- Bill C-16 Has Now Been Incorporated Into Bill C-36 but Made More Stringent
- Bill C-36 Also Enacts Comprehensive Criminal Code Provisions Prohibiting Funding and Facilitating of Terrorist Activities and Terrorist Groups

Carter & Associates

- Charities and Their Legal Counsel May Also Be Caught by the Proceeds of Crime (Money Laundering) Legislation As Amended by Bill C-36
- Charities and Their Directors Face Exposure to Liability Through Criminal Code Provisions That May Also Lead to Loss of Charitable Status
- Therefore, Charities Need to Become Familiar With Criminal Code Provisions of Bill C-36
- An Understanding of Criminal Code and Other Provisions of Bill C-36 Requires a Careful Review of the Details of the Legislation

Carter & Associates

3. Criminal Code Definitions Under Bill C-36 That Impact Charities

"Terrorist Activities" Definition

• Bill C-36 Includes a Very Broad Definition of "Terrorist Activities" (S. 83.01)

"Terrorist Group" Definition

- Bill C-36 Also Includes a Very Broad Definition of "Terrorist Group" (S.83.01)
- Definition Covers Situations That May Impact Charities, Which Amongst Others Include (S. 83.05):
 - A "Listed Entity"
 - An Entity That Has As One of Its Purposes or Activities Facilitating or Carrying on of Terrorist Activities
 - An "Entity" Includes Trusts, Unincorporated Associations and Organizations
 - Also Includes an Association of Such Entities

Carter & Associates

"Facilitation" Definition

- A Terrorist Activity Is Defined to Be "Facilitated" Whether or Not
 - The Facilitator Knows That a Particular Terrorist Activity Is Facilitated;
 - Any Particular Terrorist Activity Was Foreseen or Planned at the Time It Was Facilitated; Or
 - Any Terrorist Activity Was Actually Carried Out

Impact of Definitions on Charities

- FACT SITUATION #1 A Charity Funds an Agent in the Middle East That Operates a Hospital Which May Treat or Give Medicine to a Member of a Terrorist Group
- FACT SITUATION #2 A Hospital Provides Medical Care or a Church Provides Sleeping Facilities to Student Protestors at an Antiglobalization Protest Who Erect a Road Block Leading to an International Economic Summit

Carter & Associates

Carter & Associates

- In Either Situation, the Charity Could Be Found to Be:
 - A Terrorist Group for Facilitating a Terrorist Activity
 - A Terrorist Group by Meeting the Definition of a "Listed Entity"
- The Charity Could Also Be Committing a Separate Criminal Offence of Facilitating a Terrorist Activity
- The Charity Could Also Lose Its Charitable Status Under the Deregistration Provisions of Part 6 of Bill C-36

Carter & Associates

4. Specific Criminal Code Offences That May Impact Charities

- (S. 83.03) Directly or Indirectly Providing or Inviting the Provision of Property, Financial or Other Related Services That Facilitates or Carries Out a Terrorist Activity or Benefits a Terrorist Group
- (S. 83.04) Directly or Indirectly Using or Possessing Property to Facilitate a Terrorist Activity
- (S. 83.08) Dealing With Property, Facilitating Transactions or Financial or Related Services for the Benefit or at Direction of a Terrorist Group
- (S. 83.11) Financial Institutions (Which May Include Charities) Are Obligated to Determine If They Possess Property of a "Listed Entity"

- (S. 83.18) Directly or Indirectly Participating or Contributing to Any Actions That Enhances the Facilitation of a Terrorist Activity
- (S. 83.21) Directly or Indirectly Instructing a Person to Carry Out Activities for the Benefit of a Terrorist Group
- (S. 83.22) Directly or Indirectly Instructing a Person to Carry Out a Terrorist Activity
- (S. 83.14) The Attorney General May Apply for an Order of Forfeiture of Property of a Terrorist Group

Carter & Associates

Impact of Criminal Code Offences on Charities

- FACT SITUATION #1 A Charity Though a
 Fundraiser Requests the Provision of Medical Supplies
 to Fund an Agent in the Middle East and Gives
 Instructions to the Agent to Use the Supplies at a
 Hospital That Might Treat or Give Medicine to a
 Member of a Terrorist Group
- FACT SITUATION #2 A Charity Through a Fundraiser Solicits Funds for a Programme to Conduct Aerial Drops of Food Packages in Afghanistan Where Some Remaining Members of the Taliban Might Receive a Few of the Food Packages

- FACT SITUATION #3 A Hospital Foundation Raises
 Funds for the General Operations of a Hospital That
 Provides Medical Care to Student Protestors at an Antiglobalization Protest Who Erect a Road Block Leading
 to an International Economic Summit
- FACT SITUATION #4 A Religious Denomination Provides Funding to a Local Church That Assists Student Protesters by Providing Sleeping Facilities in its Church Basement in Fact Situation #3 Above

Carter & Associates

5. The Charities Registration (Security Information) Act Part 6 (Previously Bill C-16)

When In Effect

 Part 6 Dealing With the Designation of Charities and the Refusal to Grant Charitable Status Comes Into Effect Upon Order in Council

Practical Impact

 Even If a Charity Is Not Charged With a Criminal Code Offence, a Possible Violation of a Criminal Code Offence May Result in a Charity Losing Its Charitable Status Without the Protection of Due Process of Law

Certificate Issued

15

- Solicitor General and Minister of National Revenue May Sign a Certificate That, Based Upon Security or Criminal Intelligence Reports, There Are Reasonable Grounds to Believe That a Registered Charity or an **Applicant for Registered Charity Status:**
 - Has Made, Makes or Will Make Available Anv Resources, Directly or Indirectly to a Listed Entity
 - Made Available Any Resources, Directly or Indirectly, to an Entity (Not a Listed Entity) That Was and Continues to Be Engaged in Terrorist **Activities or Activities in Support of Them**
 - Makes or Will Make Available Any Resources, Directly or Indirectly, to an Entity (Not a Listed Entity) That Engages in or Will Engage in Terrorist Activities or Activities in Support of Them Carter & Associates

- **Concerns Involving the Issuance of a Certificate**
 - No Knowledge or Intent Is Required
 - Past, Present and Future Actions Can Be Considered
 - **No Due Diligence Defence**
 - No Definition of What "Indirectly" Means
 - No Definition of "In Support of" Given
 - No Warning Given or Opportunity to Change the **Practices of a Charity**
 - Low Standard of "Reasonable Belief" Utilized
 - No Explanation Required for the Issuance of a Certificate 16

Referral to Federal Court

- The Certificate Must Be Served Upon the Charity or the Applicant and Referred to the Federal Court for **Judicial Consideration**
- A Federal Court Judge Will Then Determine If the Certificate Is Reasonable and If So, Then the Charity Will Lose Its Charitable Registration or the **Applicant Will Be Ineligible to Become a Charity**
- The Federal Court Determination Is Not Subject to Appeal and the Certificate Is Final and is Not Subject to Review By a Court

17

Carter & Associates

Evidence to be Heard

- The Federal Court "Shall" Examine in Private the Security or Criminal Intelligence Reports Considered by the Ministers
- The Federal Court May Also Examine Other Evidence In the Absence of the Charity If the Disclosure Would Injure National Security or the **Safety of Anyone**
- The Federal Court Can Admit Any Reliable and Relevant Information. Whether or Not the Information Is or Would Be Admissible in a Court of Law
- The Federal Court Can Receive In Private Information Obtained in Confidence From a Government, an Institution of a Foreign State, or From an International Organization, and Not Disclose Such Information to the Applicant or the Charity

18

Effect of Certificate

- Once a Certificate Is Determined to Be Relevant by a Federal Court Judge, Then the Registration of That Charity Is Automatically Revoked
- This May Result in the Loss of Charitable Property Due to the 100% Tax to the Federal Government Imposed on Revocation of Charitable Status

Time That the Certificate Is In Effect For

• A Certificate Is Effective for a Period of Seven Years, But May Be Cancelled Earlier Upon an Application Based Upon a Material Change of Circumstances

19

Carter & Associates

6. Proceeds of Crime (Money Laundering) Act (Part 4)

- Proceeds of Crime (Money Laundering) Act Has Now Been Amended to Include the Financing of Terrorist Activities
- Regulations May Be Adopted That Could Include Charities and Those Who Raise Monies on Behalf of Charities
- Charities May Be Unwittingly Included in the Definition of Who the Act Applies to Because of the Exemption From Registration Under S.35 (2) 7 of the Securities Act for Charities That May be Seen As Authorizing Charities to Carry on the Business of Dealing in Securities
- Since Lawyers Fall under the Legislation, Monies Intended for Charities That Are Given Through a Lawyer will Be Under the Jurisdiction of the Legislation

7. The Risk to Charities From Bill C-36

Triple Threat from Bill C-36

- Past, Present and Future Acts Can Be Considered in Revoking or Denying Charitable Status
- Can Involve Criminal Code Offences, Loss of Charitable Status and Money Laundering Violations
- Can Result in Penalties, Imprisonment and Seizure of Charitable Property

Lack of Fairness Before the Law Under Bill C-36

- · Lack of Procedural Fairness
 - Limited Access to and Disclosure of Information
 - Normal Rules of Evidence Do Not Apply for Loss of Charitable Status
 - No Right of Appeal or Review for Loss of Charitable Status

21

__Carter & Associates

Limited Defence

- No Due Diligence Defence Available For Charities For Either
 - Criminal Code Offences
 - Loss of Charitable Status
- Knowledge And Intent Have Been Curtailed
 - Criminal Code Offences Involving Facilitation Involve Lower Threshold Of Knowledge And Intent Than Other Criminal Code Offences
 - Knowledge And Intent Is Not A Requirement At All For Loss Of Charitable Status

22

Discrimination Concerns of Bill C-36

- Charities With Political, Religious and Ideological Purposes Will Be Suspect Because They in Part Meet the Definition of "Terrorist Activity"
- Religious, Ethnic and Environmental Charities May Be Scrutinized More Than Others
- Greater Scrutinization May Result in Discrimination Against Some Charities Because They Have "Religious or Ideological" Purposes

23 | Carter & Associates

Negative Impact on Charities From Bill C-36

- Negative Impact on the General Public's Perception of Charities Being Associated With Possible Financing of Terrorism
- Negative Impact May Result by Creating a "Chill Effect" on Future Charitable Activities For International Religious and Humanitarian Aid Organizations
- May Restrict Co-operative Efforts With Charities in Other Countries That May Be Concerned About Exposure to Bill C-36, As Well As Similar Concerns by Canadian Charities About Anti-terrorism Laws in other Countries

Serious Penalties and Liability to Charities and Directors

- Directors Could Be Charged With Criminal Code Offences
- Agents of Charities Involved in International Operations Could Expose Both the Charity and Directors to Liability
- Breach of Fiduciary Duty Arising From a Loss of Charitable Property Could Lead to Personal Liability for Directors
- Fines, Penalties and Criminal Code Charges Are Not Normally Included in Insurance Coverage
- Gifts by Donors to a Charity That is a Terrorist Group May Put The Donors at Risk in Violating the Criminal Code and Will Therefore Require that Donors Make Appropriate Inquiries of Intended Recipient Charities

25 | Carter & Associates

8. Developing a Due Diligence Response to Bill C-36 The need for Due Diligence

- Charities Will Need to Exercise Due Diligence to Determine If There Is Compliance And/Or Risk With Bill C-36
- Due Diligence Is Not a Defence for Either
 - Criminal Code Offences
 - Part 6 Certificates Revoking Charitable Status
- Due Diligence, Though, Can Be Effective in
 - Avoiding Possible Violations of Bill C-36 Before They Occur
 - Evidencing Thoroughness in Operations in Order to Counter Allegations of Facilitating Terrorist Activity
- Undertaking Due Diligence Is Mandatory in Accordance With the Common Law Fiduciary Obligations of Directors to Protect Charitable Property

26

Due Diligence Through Education

- All Aspects of Due Diligence Should Be Reflected in an Anti-terrorism Policy Statement
- Need to Develop Access to General Resource Materials on Bill C-36 and Other Anti-terrorism Legislation
- Need to Compare and Coordinate Educational Materials With Other Charities, Either Directly or Indirectly Through Umbrella Associations
- Need to Provide Educational Materials and Presentations to Board Members, Staff, Volunteers, Donors and Agents of the Charity

27

Carter & Associates

Due Diligence at the Board Level

- CCRA May Conduct CSIS Security Checks of Board Members for New and Existing Charities
- Potential Board Members Should Be Advised That a CSIS Security Check May Be Carried Out on Them
- Potential Board Members Should Be Required to Complete Disclosure Statements to Determine Compliance With Bill C-36
- Consents From Potential Directors Should Be Obtained to Share the Results of the Disclosure Statement With Board Members and With Executive Staff, As Well As Nominating Committee Members, If Applicable
- Consents to Be a Director Should Include an Undertaking to Immediately Report Any Material Change in the Disclosure Statements
- Directors Should Be Required to Complete Yearly Disclosure Statements to Confirm Compliance With Bill C-36

2

Due Diligence at Staff and Volunteer Level

- Potential Key Staff Persons Should Be Advised That a CSIS Security Check May Be Carried Out on Them
- Potential Staff and Volunteers Should Be Required to Complete Disclosure Statements to Determine Compliance With Bill C-36
- Consents Should Be Obtained From Staff and Volunteers to Allow the Charity to Share the Results of the Disclosure Statements With Other Executive Staff and Board Members
- Consents From Staff and Volunteers Should Include an Undertaking to Immediately Report Any Material Change in the Disclosure Statement
- Staff and Key Volunteers Should Be Requested to Complete Yearly Disclosure Statements to Confirm Compliance With Bill C-36

29

Carter & Associates

Due Diligence Checklist of Charitable Programs

- A Due Diligence Compliance Checklist Should Be Developed to Determine Whether Charitable Programs Comply With Bill C-36 and the Level of Risk That Might Be Encountered
- A Due Diligence Compliance Checklist Should Incorporate All Aspects of the Anti-terrorism Policy That Apply to a Charitable Program
- Each Existing and Proposed Charitable Program Should Be Evaluated in Accordance With the Due Diligence Compliance Checklist
- The Results of the Due Diligence Checklist Should Be Communicated to the Board of Directors
- A Due Diligence Checklist of All Charitable Programs Should Be Repeated on a Regular Basis, i.e. Once a Year

30

Due Diligence With Umbrella Associations

- Umbrella Associations to Which a Charity Belongs Can Expose the Charity, the Umbrella Association, and Other Members of the Association to Risk of Being Part of a "Terrorist Group"
- Members of an Umbrella Association Should Be Required to Complete Disclosure Statements to Determine Compliance With Bill C-36
- Consents Should Be Obtained From Members to Share the Results of the Disclosure Statements With the Directors of the Umbrella Association, As Well As With Its Members

31 <u>Car</u>ter & Associates

- Consents From Members Should Include an Undertaking to Immediately Report Any Material Change in the Disclosure Statement
- Members of the Umbrella Association Should Be Required to Complete Yearly Disclosure Statements to Confirm Compliance With Bill C-36
- Members of the Umbrella Association Should be Required to Adopt Their Own Anti-terrorism Policy Statements

Due Diligence Concerning "Affiliated Charities"

- "Affiliated Charities" Means Other Registered Charities Which the Charity Works in Conjunction With, Either Through Informal Cooperation or by Formal Joint Venture or Partnership Agreements
- Affiliated Charities That Either Receive Funds From the Charity or Give Funds to the Charity Can Create Risks to the Charity If They Are Not Complying With Bill C-36
- Affiliated Charities Should Be Required to Complete Disclosure Statements to Confirm Compliance With Bill C-36

33 | Carter & Associates

- Affiliated Charities Should Undertake to Immediately Report Any Material Change in the Disclosure Statements
- Affiliated Charities Should Be Required to Complete Yearly Disclosure Statements to Confirm Compliance With Bill C-36
- Affiliated Charities Should Be Required to Provide Releases and Indemnities to the Charity in the Event of Non-compliance With Bill C-36
- Affiliated Charities Should Be Required to Adopt Their Own Anti-terrorism Policy Statement

Carter & Associates

Due Diligence With Regards to Third Party Agents

- All Third Party Agents of a Charity Can Expose a Charity to Liability by Directly or Indirectly Being Involved in the Facilitation of a "Terrorist Activity"
- Agents That Act on Behalf of a Third Party Agent for a Charity May Also Expose the Charity to Liability
- All Direct and Indirect Third Party Agents Should Be Required to Complete Disclosure Statements to Determine Compliance With Bill C-36
- Agents Should Undertake to Immediately Report Any Material Change in the Disclosure Statements

35 | Carter & Associates

- Agents Should Be Required to Complete Yearly Disclosure Statements to Confirm Compliance With Bill C-36
- Agents Should Be Required to Provide Releases and Indemnities to the Charity in the Event of Noncompliance With Bill C-36
- Agents Should Be Required to Adopt Their Own Antiterrorism Policy Statements

Due Diligence Concerning Donors

- Need to Regularly Review List of Donors of a Charity to Check for "Listed Entities" or Organizations That May Be Terrorist Groups
- Are Restrictions Imposed by Donors on the Use of Funds That Could Lead to Violations of Bill C-36?
- Is a Donor Able to Use a Program of a Charity to Permit the Flow Through of Funds Directly or Indirectly to a Terrorist Activity?

37

Carter & Associates

Documenting Due Diligence Through an Antiterrorism Policy Statement

- An Anti-terrorism Policy Statement Should Be Adopted to Document All Aspects of Due Diligence on Bill C-36, Including All Applicable Documents, Such As Statements of Disclosure and Checklists
- Board of Directors Should Appoint a Committee and a Key Staff Person to Develop, Implement and Review the Charity's Anti-terrorism Policy Statement
- The Anti-terrorism Policy Statement Should Set Out Reporting Requirements in the Event That There Is an Actual or Potential Violation of Bill C-36

- The Anti-terrorism Policy Statement May Be Published on the Charity's Website, With Excerpts Possibly Being Reproduced in Reports and Brochures of the Charity, As Well As Communications to Donors
- Donors Should Be Advised That a Full Copy of the Antiterrorism Policy Is Available

Carter & Associates

Evidencing Due Diligence With CCRA

- Download as Much Evidence of Due Diligence Compliance to CCRA as Possible
- A Copy of the Anti-terrorism Policy Statement Should Be Forwarded to CCRA With a Request That CCRA Advise of Any Deficiencies in the Policy Statement
- If a New Program of a Charity May Result in Possible Non-compliance With Bill C-36, Then a Letter Requesting Approval in Advance of the Program Should Be Sought From CCRA
- Copies of All Agency Agreements Should Be Filed With CCRA With a Request That CCRA Approve the Agreements, Specifically as They Relate to Compliance With Bill C-36

Evidencing Due Diligence With Legal Counsel

- Involvement of Legal Counsel Can Provide Tangible Evidence of Due Diligence, As Well As Assisting to Insulate the Charity and the Board From Liability
- Legal Counsel Can Be Utilized to Review, Comment and Amend
 - Anti-terrorism Policy Statement
 - Disclosure Statement
 - Compliance Checklist
 - Particulars of a Charitable Program
- Legal Counsel Can Also Assist in Communicating With CCRA to Evidence Due Diligence Compliance

41